

# Transparency Advisory Group

Meeting Minutes

February 16, 2016

---

## Attendees:

Dr. Sarah Woolsey, HealthInsight  
Charles Hawley, OHCS  
Wu Xu, UDOH  
Alan Ormsby, AARP  
Sterling Petersen, OHCS

Lynette Hansen, Molina  
Mike Friedrichs, UDOH  
Brock Stoner, HealthInsight  
Bri Marshall, HealthInsight

## Via Adobe Connect/ Teleconference:

Jim Murray, Select Health  
Jesse Liddell, Select Health  
Jennifer Garvin, U of U

## Goals 2016 Final Review and Approval - See slides for more details

- Took input from folks, worked with staff, talked with TAG in January.
- Ended up with 6 Goals for 2016.
- Reviewed 6 Goals - look good for most part.
- Goal #1: Looks good
- Goal #2: Jim asked for more detail and the intended use. What entity would we release this to?
  - o Charles said it's similar to what we did at end of 2014 but this includes facility comparisons for cost.
  - o Will put on UtahHealthScape website, maternity module being built by Wowza.
  - o Shared this with MPS committee last week & at this point we're planning to show maternity cost alongside the quality indicators produced using inpatient data
  - o This is part of statute 106.5 - moving it from small area or zip code to more comparison.
  - o We'll rely on 3M Risk Grouper to include uncomplicated vaginal deliveries
  - o Will use same process as before and will allow folks to preview
- Goal #3: look to see how those entities can use those findings.
- Goal #4: first part - talked about filling in the gaps with uninsured populations.
  - o On UHIN - entities are submitting data because we got research requests from UHIN
  - o Are we looking at UHIN Data going into APCD? No, this would be matching claim codes, not clinical data to submit. Been outlined process with FQHCs and UHIN to see feasibility of adding data into data set.
  - o Amendment - CHANGE Goal #4 verbiage to read: FQHC pilot clinics VIA UHIN instead of AND UHIN
- Goal #5: No issues

# Transparency Advisory Group

## Meeting Minutes

February 16, 2016

---

- Goal #6: Clarify meaning? Focus on over prescribing. Discussion has been interest of using APCD data to clarify things. How can controlled substance database and APCD work together?
  - o Wu: UDOH does have access to controlled substance – when you try to link to conditions, APCD has that data. Will be enhancement to controlled database.
- How do we use that data from there?
  - o Sarah: Quite a few grants out there. Could potentially put Utah in good place to do clinical provider interventions. Explore data we have to see where we can impact rates for prescribing – in addition to clarifying
  - o Mike: UDOH already has a grant for this. APCD does link to chronic conditions. Just recently got access to data. Partly to look at diagnosis codes and gave something to work on. We've done interview with prescribers. There are current pieces of legislation that we provided information for to help get those bills drafted.
- Alan asked for Motion to Approve these 2016 Goals for TAG – with amendment as discussed
- Lynette made motion to approve
- Those in attendance were all in favor
- Approval granted

### Review of MPS Recommendations for Office Provider Data

- In the Medical Provider Subcommittee of TAG (MPS) we are getting ready to do something new – report quality by clinic.
- In order to have transparency work the best way possible, want all parties involved and able to see steps before.
- Know data won't be “perfect” want to have process go in a way that's operationally feasible and also to make sure provider's voices are heard.
- Need to feel comfortable with methodology we have and feel confident we can pull this off prior to May 10th
- Alan: Do they have heads up on this or will this be a surprise?
  - o Sarah: Most people are in touch with major systems. Sarah has article in UMA that will publish in March.
  - o We'll stumble, people will be surprised as they were when we did first iteration of data
  - o When data is being showed, gets people's attention in a way that talking about it hasn't.
- Jim asked if we have any idea on what other states have done this? Mentioned provider and data supplier validation – we've tried to figure out what to do with data supplier – provider attribution process that determines how results come out. Not sure how we can do that, what does that look like?
  - o Charles thinks that first and foremost, we're required to provide to you for review so you can comment
  - o Definitely sees the conundrum; data review process for entity submitting data, frame of it has HEDIS and CAPS and discharge data. APCD data works differently.
  - o We have been keeping tabs on what other states have been doing, we are watching that

# Transparency Advisory Group

## Meeting Minutes

February 16, 2016

---

- Sarah interested in your support or caution on 90 day thing – wants to make sure we verbally talk about this
- Committee assents and is supportive of 90 day cycle for provider data

### Blinded Quality Data Review for A1c and Antibiotic Measures

- HbA1C testing and bronchitis
- Some feedback we received from MPS – need to be able to focus on PCP practicing in a clinic and exclude physicians working under specialty or Urgent care claims for A1c
- Both measures use these taxonomy codes. Some clinics we found it significantly dropped number of patients to evaluate
  - o One solution was to include PA's and NP's but for now we're just using PCPs, may need alteration here.
  - o Continued refinement and will send out reports starting next month

### Nursing Home INTERACT Badge Approval for UtahHealthScape

- If you log into UHS – has columns of different badges showing consumers different participation of clinic sites
- Giving badge letting them know they are participating in INTERACT
  - o INTERACT is full training to have people be more aware of issues
  - o Med Rec or transitions
- In the future when we make badge changes, we will bring to TAG and other community groups for approval
- HealthInsight has given every nursing home in the state opportunity to be trained, actively engaged making sure these occur and are maintained
- Also doing major revamp of UHS in next few months. We'll revisit badge issue and get discussion about what badges to include.

### Next meetings:

#### TAG

March 15, 2016

April 19, 2016

9:00 – 10:00am MT

@ Cannon Health Building

288 North 1460 West, Room 125

Salt Lake City, UT 84116

#### Medical Provider Subcommittee

March 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016

April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2016

4:00-5:00pm MT

@HealthInsight and via Adobe Connect

756 East Winchester Street, Suite 200

Salt Lake City, UT 84107